

MONTEREY/SAN BENITO CONTINUUM OF CARE SPECIAL NOFO TO ADDRESS UNSHELTERED AND RURAL HOMELESSNESS 2022 COC REVIEW AND RANK POLICIES

I. OVERVIEW

The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) provides over \$2 billion per year in funding for homeless housing and services. This funding is distributed through Continuums of Care (CoCs), which are regional organizations that meet regularly to improve project performance and build community support for responding to homelessness.

In June 2022, HUD released a [Special Notice of Funding Opportunity \(NOFO\)](#) to address unsheltered and rural homelessness. The Special NOFO is distinct from the Annual NOFO although there are some similarities between the two opportunities. Specifically, the CoC must still host a local competition to select projects to include in the CoC's consolidated application to HUD. **As the Special NOFO is a new funding opportunity, all projects applying for Special NOFO funding will apply as new projects.**

Eligible project types include permanent supportive housing (PSH), rapid re-housing (RRH), joint transitional housing and rapid re-housing (TH-RRH), and supportive service only (SSO). As the Collaborative Applicant and HMIS Lead, the Coalition of Homeless Service Providers can also apply for CoC Planning and HMIS projects.

For more information about eligible project types and project costs, project applicants can refer to the Special NOFO and to [eCFR :: 24 CFR 578.37 -- Program components and uses of assistance](#).

The Monterey/San Benito Continuum of Care is eligible to apply for a maximum of \$2,808,659 for a three-year grant term.

- \$84,260 (or \$28,087 annually) for CoC Planning expenses
- \$2,724,399 (or \$908,133 annually) for projects

Funding is not guaranteed; the figures above represent the total amount the CoC is eligible to apply and compete for.

II. 2022 SPECIAL NOFO COMPETITION PROCESS

A. APPLICATION COMPONENTS

There are two components of the project application: the written e-snaps application and the oral interview.

1. The **e-snaps Application** is a federal online application form that HUD requires all projects to complete in order to apply for HUD funding. When the e-snaps application is complete, projects should not hit “submit.” Instead, project applicants will download a PDF copy of the e-snaps application and upload the PDF to CHSP’s application platform, Submittable, for review by the Rating Panel.
 - Information on using e-snaps can be found at [e-snaps : CoC Program Applications and Grants Management System - HUD Exchange](#)
 - Technical assistance regarding using e-snaps is available through Focus Strategies. Applicant agencies requesting assistance should email Vanessa Fenley (vanessa@focusstrategies.net) and Hana Gossett (hana@focusstrategies.net).
2. **Oral interviews** will be conducted by the Rating Panel with all with all project applicants. The oral interviews provide an opportunity for applicants to clarify or expand on any answers in their written e-snaps application.

B. COMPETITION TIMELINE

Additional details and materials for project applicants will be uploaded to the 2022 Special NOFO website at [Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness \(Special NOFO\) - The Coalition of Homeless Service Providers \(chsp.org\)](#) All project applicants and stakeholders are encouraged to check the CoC’s Special NOFO website regularly.

August 5, 2022: Competition Start Date: By August 5, 2022, CHSP will post information announcing the start of the local competition.

August 18, 2022: Special NOFO Bidders Conference: CHSP will host a Bidders Conference for prospective applicants. Information regarding the bidders conference will be posted on CHSP’s Special NOFO website at [Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness \(Special NOFO\) - The Coalition of Homeless Service Providers \(chsp.org\)](#). Prospective applicants are encouraged but not required to attend the Bidders Conference.

- At the Bidders Conference, attendees will receive an overview of Special NOFO, including details about how it differs from the Annual NOFO. Applicants will also be oriented to the process for reviewing and ranking applications. Applicants will also have the opportunity to ask any questions they have about both the local and HUD application processes.
- The Bidders Conference will be recorded and posted to CHSP’s Special NOFO website. Prospective applicants who are unable to attend the Bidders Conference are responsible for accessing information provided during the Workshop and fully complying with all competition requirements

August 19, 2022: Letters of Intent Due: All prospective applicants must submit letters of intent by August 15, 2022 at 5:00 p.m. Prospective applicants should complete their Letter of Intent online through Submittable via the link provided on the CoC's Special NOFO website. The form will include, at minimum, the agency name, project name, and project type.

September 8, 2022: Local Project Applications Due: Projects must submit the PDF copy of their e-snaps application via Submittable by August 30, 2022 at 5:00 p.m. Late applications will be subject to a reduction in project score or disqualification.

September 22, 2022: Project Applicant Interviews: Rating Panel member(s) will interview project applicants whose applications pass minimum threshold criteria. Interviews are intended to gather information to provide additional clarification or expansion of answers included in the project application, for the purpose of accurately and fairly rating and ranking projects.

- CHSP will post information regarding applicant interviews, including available time slots, to their Special NOFO website.

September 26, 2022: Applicants Notified of Competition Outcome: All project applicants will be notified (outside of e-snaps) of the outcome of the local competition, including whether the project was accepted to or rejected from the priority list and, for accepted projects, whether the funding request was reduced or increased.

September 28, 2022: Application Appeals Due: Any project applicant choosing to appeal the decision of the Rating Panel must submit an intent to appeal by September 28, 2022 by emailing CoC staff at grants@chsp.org. Additional information about the appeals process is included in Section VI.

By October 18, 2022: The final consolidated application will be posted to the Annual NOFO website by October 18, 2022.

By October 20, 2022: Consolidated Application Submitted to HUD: The CoC will submit the consolidated application to HUD by October 20, 2022.

III. RATING PANEL

Because many of the people who are closely involved with the Lead Me Home CoC (LMH CoC) also receive funding that is distributed through the CoC, the CoC's leadership does not directly review or rate project applications. Instead, project applications evaluated by an independent Rating Panel. The Panel prepares a Project Priority List showing the recommended score and rank of all of the projects in San Benito/Monterey Counties that applied for funding.

The Project Priority List may be subject to minor edits if a project files a successful technical appeal or if the CoC Board determines that edits are required based on urgent community needs. Then, the CoC officially adopts the Project Priority List and submits it to HUD as part of the annual Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) competition.

A. RATING PANEL MEMBER QUALIFICATIONS

Rating Panel members shall be:

- Knowledgeable about homelessness and housing in the community and are broadly representative of the relevant sectors, subpopulations, and geographic areas;
- "Neutral," meaning that they are not employees, staff, or otherwise have a business/financial or specific personal conflict of interest with the applicant organizations;
- Familiar with housing and homeless needs within the CoC; and
- Willing to review projects with the best interest of homeless persons in mind.

Rating Panel members agree to:

- Dedicate time for application review and Rating Panel meetings
- Sign a statement declaring that they have no conflict of interest and a confidentiality agreement.

B. RATING PANEL SELECTION

Rating Panel members for the Continuum of Care Competition Review and Rank shall be chosen by LMH CoC staff subject to the membership qualifications above.

The Rating Panel shall consist of three to five members.

C. THE PANEL'S PREPARATION

The Panel will receive a training on the CoC Program and local competition and their responsibilities as Rating Panelists. This training may be conducted via videoconference at the convenience of the Panel.

The Panel will review all local project applications prior to the scheduled Review and Rank meeting.

The Panel will meet in person or by videoconference to discuss the applications submitted as part of the Continuum of Care Competition for the Special NOFO.

D. EMERGENCY REPLACEMENTS

If one or more Panel members are unable to attend the Review and Rank meeting or otherwise unable to discharge their duties, then LMH CoC staff may appoint one or more suitable emergency replacements, or may continue the Review and Rank process with a smaller Rating Panel, at their discretion.

IV. ASSIGNING SCORES TO PROJECTS

A. IN GENERAL

The Rating Panel will use the information it receives to decide on a score for each project for each of the scoring factors listed in the Scoring Tools. Panelists are encouraged to candidly share their reasoning with each other and to listen carefully to each other's reasoning, but each Panel member is entitled to his or her own opinion: there is no requirement that the Panelists agree about how to score a project. An individual Panelist may have a tendency to score projects more harshly or more leniently as long as that tendency is consistently applied to all projects. After scoring is over, the scores assigned by each Panelist will be averaged to calculate the program's final score.

Except as specifically indicated, all scoring factors have a minimum of 0 points. Panelists may not assign a project a negative number of points. Similarly, Panelists may not assign "extra credit" that goes above the maximum score listed for a scoring factor in the Scoring Tool. Panelists may use decimal scores (e.g., 2.5 points) when necessary.

Scoring criteria for projects are included in Attachment A.

Scores from all Panelists will be averaged to create the final score for a project application. The final score may be adjusted based on applicant responses to the oral interview questions.

B. APPLICATION ELIGIBILITY THRESHOLD REVIEW

Before Project Applications are submitted to the Rating Panel, they must pass a threshold review. The LMH CoC Coordinator/Collaborative Applicant will complete the threshold review to verify the eligibility of:

- Applicant
- Project
- Activity
- Completeness of application.

This review will take place prior to the application's submission to the Rating Panel for reading and scoring. Proposals that fail to completely meet threshold review criteria may not be forwarded to the Rating Panel for further consideration. These programs will be notified of this decision within 24 hours of the threshold review. Proposals that completely meet eligibility threshold review criteria will be submitted to the Rating Panel and will be scored according to the scoring criteria.

C. MISSING, LATE, OR INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS

Late Application: Late applications received within 24 hours of the due date/time will receive a 15-point score reduction. Late applications received after 24 hours will not be accepted.

Incomplete Applications: Incomplete applications cannot be cured for Rating Panel scoring but must be corrected prior to HUD submission. The e-snaps application will be examined to determine if all pieces of the application have been submitted.

D. TIED SCORES

Any ties in final project scores will be broken based on three factors:

1. Alignment with CoC project priorities
2. Alignment with CoC Plan
3. Alignment with and ability to advance system performance measures

V. ASSIGNING RANKS TO PROJECTS

After all projects have been scored and the final scores (an average of all Panelists scores) for all project applicants calculated, the Rating Panel will assemble the preliminary Project Priority List. The Project Priority List may be adjusted before it is finalized if project scores are adjusted based on applicant responses during the oral interviews.

A. PROJECT RANKING

The 2022 Special NOFO Competition does not have tiered ratings as the annual NOFO competition. Instead, the Project Priority List will be formed based on the final scores for each submitted project application. The final project score is calculated by averaging the project scores from all Rating Panel members.

The Rating Panel will conduct oral interviews with all project applicants for the purposes of clarifying or expanding on responses in the e-snaps application. The Rating Panel may opt to adjust some project scores based on responses in the oral interviews. If any adjustments to project scores impact the project rankings, the Project Priority List will be adjusted at the conclusion of the oral interviews.

When compiling the Project Priority List, the Rating Panel may opt to increase or reduce some funding requests in order to maximize the CoC's overall funding request and/or strengthen the CoC's application. Projects that are accepted onto the CoC's Priority List are not guaranteed funding.

The CoC Planning Grant must be ranked with other project applications. The Rating Panel will place the Planning Grant on the Priority List in a location that maximizes the likelihood it will be funded, if funding is awarded to the CoC. The specific ranking of the Planning Grant will be left to the discretion of the Rating Panel.

B. NOTIFICATION OF RANKINGS

Project applicants will be notified as to whether they were recommended for funding (and, if so, where their project is ranked on the Project Priority List) within 48 hours of the Review and Rank Meeting.

VI. TECHNICAL APPEALS

The Rating Panel reviews all applications and ranks them for funding recommendations to HUD. Applicants may appeal the decision on technical grounds by following the process set forth below.

A. MEMBERS OF THE APPEAL PANEL

The Appeal Panel shall consist of three members. These members may be selected from non-profits, foundations, consumers, government, and private agencies with experience in grant administration and homelessness projects.

The Appeal Panel will be selected by the neutral facilitator of the Review and Rank process.

Appeal Panel members must not have a conflict of interest with any of the agencies or parties applying for CoC Program funding as defined by the existing Rating Panel conflict of interest rules.

B. SUBJECTS FOR APPEAL

Appeals may be made only if there was a failure to accurately follow the Review and Rank process, resulting in a reduced score.

NOTE: Appeals based on policy considerations, funding priorities, or other subjective criteria will not be considered and are not eligible for technical appeal.

C. APPEALS PROCESS

Any Project Applicant seeking to appeal must adhere to the included timeline. Failure to meet a deadline in the timeline voids the Project Applicant's appeal.

1. Project Applicants must provide notice to the CoC of an appeal, by emailing CoC staff at grants@chsp.org by September 28, 2022 at 5 p.m. This notice must include:
 - a. A statement as to why the project is eligible to appeal.
 - b. The basis for the appeal.
 - c. A short, clear, written statement no longer than two pages of the basis for the Project Applicant's appeal of the Rating Panel's decision. The CoC will contact the appealing Project Applicant in an attempt to clarify the scoring decision and determine if the appeal can be resolved without requiring a formal hearing.
2. All appeals will be forwarded to the Appeal Panel.
3. The Appeal Hearing shall be conducted according to the following procedure:
 - a. The Appeal Hearing will be conducted telephonically or via videoconference.
 - b. The Appeal Panel will join the call with the neutral facilitator and a representative of the Rating Panel.
 - c. The neutral facilitator will explain the facts of the appeal and answer any procedural questions.
 - d. The Appeal Panel may ask the Rating Panel member questions about the Review and Rank Process to clarify what occurred during Review and Rank and what information the Panel considered in evaluating the Project Applicant.
 - e. The appealing Project Applicant will then join the phone call. The appealing Project Applicant will be allotted a few minutes to explain their appeal. The Appeal Panel may then ask any questions of the appealing Project Applicant. The appealing Project Applicant then leaves the phone call.
 - f. The Appeal Panel conducts a discussion of the appeal and takes a formal vote.
4. The Appeal Panel may consider the effect of its decision on other Project Applicants and may include those project applicants in the appeals discussion.

The decision of the Appeal Panel is final and will be transmitted to the CoC Board without further debate.

VII. APPROVAL OF THE RANKED PRIORITY LIST AND SUBMISSION TO HUD

- A. All technical appeals shall be concluded within 10 business days of the Rating Panel Meeting.
- B. Once the technical appeals are complete, if any, the Recommended Priority List will be submitted to the CoC Board for review and approval.

- C. The CoC Board has the discretion to alter the Recommended Priority List only if alterations are determined to 1) address urgent community needs, and 2) strengthen the CoC's application.
- D. Once the CoC Board approves the Recommended Priority List, the Review and Rank Process is complete.
- E. The Approved Priority List shall be publicly posted on the CoC website in accordance with the timeline stated in the Continuum of Care Program NOFO, and shall be used to fill in the appropriate application forms for the Collaborative Applicant to submit to HUD as part of the national competition.

ATTACHMENT A
Project Scoring Criteria
2022 Special NOFO

This attachment includes information about the rating factors for all project applications submitted for the 2022 Special NOFO local competition. Project applicants will only need to submit a PDF of their e-snaps application. While the Panel may review all parts of the application to gain an understanding of the program model and approach, select sections and questions on the e-snaps application will be scored. The tables below outline the rating factors, corresponding sections from the e-snaps applications, and maximum points available for each group of rating factors. Please note the threshold criteria apply to all projects.

Tables are organized in the following order:

1. All Housing Project Types (PSH, RRH, TH-RRH)
2. SSO Non-Coordinated Entry
3. SSO Coordinated Entry
4. HMIS

Threshold Criteria for All Projects

Project applicants may be disqualified if any of the threshold criteria below are not met:

1. Applicant is not eligible to apply for CoC funds
2. Applicant is applying for an ineligible project type
3. Project does not serve an eligible population
4. Project is not willing to participate in coordinated entry
5. Project is not willing to use HMIS (or, for domestic violence [DV] survivor providers, a comparable data system)

ALL HOUSING PROJECT TYPES (PSH, RRH, TH-RRH):

Rating Factor	e-snaps Application Item	Maximum Points Possible
<p>1. Housing/Project Type</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points for PSH project • 5 points for SSO (non-CE) project • 3 points for RRH project • 3 points for Joint TH-RRH project • 0 points for HMIS • 0 points for SSO (CE) project 	<p>Screen 3A. Project Detail</p> <p>6. Component Type</p> <p>6a. Select the type of PH project (for PSH and RRH projects)</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>2. Agency/Applicant Capacity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities (5 points) • Experience leveraging funds (5 points) • Adequate financial management structure (5 points) • Points may be deducted if there are unresolved audit or monitoring findings that may affect applicant capacity 	<p>Screen 2B. Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s), and Other Partners</p> <p>1. Describe your organization’s experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application.</p> <p>2. Describe your organization’s experience in leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds.</p> <p>3. Describe your organization’s financial management structure.</p> <p>4. Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants under your organization?</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>3. Project Quality, Readiness, and Appropriateness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aligns with CoC’s Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (7 points) • Design of services and/or housing, with consideration for whether services/housing are appropriate for the population it intends to serve. (7 points) • Explanation of how and when project will have site control, if applicable (2 points) • Explanation of timeline for when housing occupancy/services will begin (4 points) 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project</p> <p>1a. Describe how the proposed project is consistent with the plan described by the CoC in response to Section VII.B.4 of this NOFO? (the CoC Plan)</p> <p>2. For each primary project location, or structure, enter the number of days from the execution of the grant agreement that each of the following milestones will occur.</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>4. Housing First</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full points will be awarded if the project follows a Housing First approach, as signified by 5d • Points will be deducted for answers in 5a – 5c that indicate 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>5a. Will the project quickly move participants into permanent housing?</p> <p>5b. Will the project enroll program participants who have the following barriers?</p>	<p>15</p>

<p>project is not following a Housing First approach</p>	<p>5c. Will the project prevent program participant termination from the project for the following reasons?</p> <p>5d. Will the project follow a “Housing First” approach?</p>	
<p>5. Housing and Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project will advance applicable HUD’s System Performance Measures, specifically (10 points): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Employment and income growth ○ Successful placement and/or retention in permanent housing • Program model and service plans clearly articulate (15 points): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How participants are assisted to access and retain permanent housing ○ How participants are assisted to secure mainstream health, social, and employment resources for which they are eligible ○ How participants are assisted to increase their incomes 	<p>Screen 4A. Supportive Services for Program Participants</p> <p>1. Describe how program participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing. (SPM 4, 7b)</p> <p>2. Describe the specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible. (SPM 4, 7b)</p> <p>3. For all supportive services available to program participants, indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided.</p> <p>4. – 6. Identify whether the project includes the following activities. (SPM 4, 7b)</p>	<p>25</p>
<p>6. Budget and Cost Effectiveness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget demonstrates the project will have enough resources to provide high-quality, reliable services and to the target population (15 points) • Budget demonstrates and documents minimum match (5 points) 	<p>Screen 4B. Housing Type and Location</p> <p>Part 5: Program Participants</p> <p>Part 6: Budgets</p> <p>Screen 7A Third-Party In-Kind Match</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>TOTAL</p>		<p>MAX 100 POINTS</p>
<p>BONUS POINTS</p> <p>Permanent Housing project that Leverages Housing Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projects that have a documented leverage commitment from a mainstream housing provider (5 bonus points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In the case of a PSH project, provide at least 50 percent of the units included in the project ○ In the case of a RRH project, serve at least 50 percent of the program participants anticipated to be served by the project <p>Permanent Housing project that Leverages Healthcare Resources</p>		<p>10</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Projects that have a documented leverage commitment from a healthcare provider (5 bonus points)<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ An amount that is equivalent to 50% of the funding being requested for the project will be covered by the healthcare organization	
--	--

SSO NON-CE PROJECTS:

Rating Factor	e-snaps Application Item	Maximum Points Possible
<p>1. Housing/Project Type</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points for PSH project • 5 points for SSO (non-CE) project • 3 points for RRH project • 3 points for Joint TH-RRH project • 0 points for HMIS • 0 points for SSO (CE) project 	<p>Screen 3A. Project Detail</p> <p>6. Component Type</p> <p>6a. Select the type of SSO project</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>2. Agency/Applicant Capacity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities (5 points) • Experience leveraging funds (5 points) • Adequate financial management structure (5 points) • Points may be deducted if there are unresolved audit or monitoring findings that may affect applicant capacity 	<p>Screen 2B. Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s), and Other Partners</p> <p>1. Describe your organization’s experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application.</p> <p>2. Describe your organization’s experience in leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds.</p> <p>3. Describe your organization’s financial management structure.</p> <p>4. Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants under your organization?</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>3. Project Quality, Readiness, and Appropriateness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aligns with CoC’s Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (7 points) • Design of services and/or housing, with consideration for whether services/housing are appropriate for the population it intends to serve. (7 points) • Explanation of how and when project will have site control, if applicable (2 points) • Explanation of timeline for when housing occupancy/services will begin (4 points) 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project</p> <p>1a. Describe how the proposed project is consistent with the plan described by the CoC in response to Section VII.B.4 of this NOFO? (the CoC Plan)</p> <p>2. For each primary project location, or structure, enter the number of days from the execution of the grant agreement that each of the following milestones will occur.</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>4. Housing First</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full points will be awarded if the project describes how they will serve participants in a trauma-informed, harm reduction, housing-oriented manner 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>5a. Describe how the street outreach project will develop a strategy for providing supportive services to those with the highest service needs, including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with</p>	<p>15</p>

	<p>supportive services? (for SSO-street outreach)</p> <p>5b. Describe how project refers program participants to projects that specifically coordinates and integrates mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which they may be eligible? (for SSO-Other non-CE)</p>	
<p>5. Housing and Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project will advance applicable HUD’s System Performance Measures, specifically (10 points): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Employment and income growth ○ Successful placement and/or retention in permanent housing • Program model and service plans clearly articulate (15 points): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How participants are assisted to access and retain permanent housing ○ How participants are assisted to secure mainstream health, social, and employment resources for which they are eligible ○ How participants are assisted to increase their incomes 	<p>Screen 4A. Supportive Services for Program Participants</p> <p>1. Describe how program participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing. (SPM 4, 7b)</p> <p>2. Describe the specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs for which program participants may be eligible. (SPM 4, 7b)</p> <p>3. For all supportive services available to program participants, indicate who will provide them and how often they will be provided.</p> <p>4. – 6. Identify whether the project includes the following activities. (SPM 4, 7b)</p>	25
<p>6. Budget and Cost Effectiveness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget demonstrates the project will have enough resources to provide high-quality, reliable services and to the target population (15 points) • Budget demonstrates and documents minimum match (5 points) 	<p>Part 5: Program Participants</p> <p>Part 6: Budgets</p> <p>Screen 7A Third-Party In-Kind Match</p>	20
TOTAL		MAX 100 POINTS

SSO-CE PROJECT:

Rating Factor	e-snaps Application Item	Maximum Points Possible
<p>1. Housing/Project Type</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points for PSH project • 5 points for SSO (non-CE) project • 3 points for RRH project • 3 points for Joint TH-RRH project • 0 points for HMIS • 0 points for SSO (CE) project 	<p>Screen 3A. Project Detail 6. Component Type</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>2. Agency/Applicant Capacity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities (5 points) • Experience leveraging funds (5 points) • Adequate financial management structure (5 points) • Points may be deducted if there are unresolved audit or monitoring findings that may affect applicant capacity 	<p>Screen 2B. Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s), and Other Partners</p> <p>1. Describe your organization’s experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application.</p> <p>2. Describe your organization’s experience in leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds.</p> <p>3. Describe your organization’s financial management structure.</p> <p>4. Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants under your organization?</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>3. Project Quality, Readiness, and Appropriateness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aligns with CoC’s Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (7 points) • Design of project to address CE system needs and coordination with other entities (7 points) • Explanation of timeline for when housing services will begin (4 points) 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project</p> <p>1a. Describe how the proposed project is consistent with the plan described by the CoC in response to Section VII.B.4 of this NOFO? (the CoC Plan)</p> <p>2. For each primary project location, or structure, enter the number of days from the execution of the grant agreement that each of the following milestones will occur.</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>4. Alignment with Notice CPD-17-01</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CE System meets all criteria of the CE Notice including covering the entire geographic area, being marketed widely, and using a standardized assessment (5 points) • CE ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services (10 points) 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>4. The following questions must be answered for “Coordinated Entry” projects</p>	<p>15</p>

<p>5. Budget and Cost Effectiveness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget demonstrates the project will have enough resources to provide high-quality, reliable services and to the target population (15 points) • Budget demonstrates and documents minimum match (5 points) 	<p>Part 6: Budgets</p> <p>Screen 7A Third-Party In-Kind Match</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>TOTAL</p>		<p>MAX 75 POINTS*</p>

*Points will be converted to a percentage in order to be ranked equivalently to other projects with scores based on a 100-point scale

HMIS PROJECT:

Rating Factor	e-snaps Application Item	Maximum Points Possible
<p>1. Housing/Project Type</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 points for PSH project • 5 points for SSO (non-CE) project • 3 points for RRH project • 3 points for Joint TH-RRH project • 0 points for HMIS • 0 points for SSO (CE) project 	<p>Screen 3A. Project Detail 6. Component Type</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>2. Agency/Applicant Capacity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effectively utilizing federal funds and performing activities (5 points) • Experience leveraging funds (5 points) • Adequate financial management structure (5 points) • Points may be deducted if there are unresolved audit or monitoring findings that may affect applicant capacity 	<p>Screen 2B. Experience of Applicant, Subrecipient(s), and Other Partners</p> <p>1. Describe your organization's experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing the activities proposed in the application.</p> <p>2. Describe your organization's experience in leveraging Federal, State, local, and private sector funds.</p> <p>3. Describe your organization's financial management structure.</p> <p>4. Are there any unresolved HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants under your organization?</p>	<p>15</p>
<p>3. Project Quality, Readiness, and Appropriateness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aligns with CoC's Plan for Serving Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness with Severe Service Needs (7 points) • Description of design and implementation of HMIS (7 points) • Explanation of timeline for when housing occupancy/services will begin (4 points) 	<p>Screen 3B. Description</p> <p>1. Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project</p> <p>1a. Describe how the proposed project is consistent with the plan described by the CoC in response to Section VII.B.4 of this NOFO? (the CoC Plan)</p> <p>2. For each primary project location, or structure, enter the number of days from the execution of the grant agreement that each of the following milestones will occur.</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>4. HMIS Implementation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HMIS is capable of meeting all Data Standards and producing all required HUD reports (15 points) • HMIS can un-duplicate client records (5 points) • Frequent trainings exist for system users (including those listed on Screen 4B) (5 points) 	<p>Part 4: Implementation of HMIS (all sections)</p>	<p>25</p>
<p>9. Budget and Cost Effectiveness</p>	<p>Part 6: Budgets</p>	<p>20</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget demonstrates the project will have enough resources to provide high-quality, reliable services and to the target population (15 points) • Budget demonstrates and documents minimum match (5 points) 	<p>Screen 7A Third-Party In-Kind Match</p>	
<p>TOTAL</p>		<p>MAX 85* POINTS</p>

*Points will be converted to a percentage in order to be ranked equivalently to other projects with scores based on a 100-point scale