## 2021 CONTINUUM OF CARE NOFA

## RENEWAL Application Performance Scoring Criteria & Tool – 100 points possible + 5 Bonus Points

Project Name:	Project Reviewer Name:

Cor	ntinuum of Care Priority							
	CoC Evaluation Criteria	CoC Performance Target	Possible Points	Full Points (5)	Half Points (2.5)	No Points (0)	Data Source	Score
1	Project type	Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) are prioritized	5	PSH, RRH	Transitional Housing (TH), Joint Transitional Housing- Rapid Rehousing (TH-RRH)	SSO (non- CE)	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	
2	Describe project's ability to serve Chronically Homeless Individuals. (System Performance Measurements (SPMs) 1.1, 1.2)		5	observations a	n accordance to nd satisfaction o rve Chronically I	of applicant's	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	
3	CoC priority special populations and clients with severe needs. (SPMs 1.1, 1.2)  Special Populations: Chronically Homeless Individuals, homeless youth (under 25), domestic violence survivors, homeless families with children, and/or homeless veterans.	See list of Special Populations and Severe Needs In order to get points for this factor based on serving the	5	Serves multiple CoC special populations and multiple "severe needs" criteria	Serves only one CoC special population	Does not serve a CoC special population	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	

no income, c abuse, a histo domestic vio criminal histo	s and Vulnerabilities: low or current or past substance ory of victimization such as elence or sexual assault, ories, mental illness, id/or chronic homelessness.	chronically homeless special population, a PSH project must check the box for DedicatedPLUS or 100% Dedicated in e- SNAPs.						
4 Award 3 poir	nts based on the degree to		5	Points given in	accordance to r	reviewer's	Applicant/	
which the pr	oject has identified any			observations an	nd satisfaction of	applicant's	Open-ended	
barriers to p	participation (e.g., lack of			description their	r commitment to	o measuring	Question	
	ced by persons of different			and respond	ding to racial disp	parities.		
	hnicities, particularly those							
-	ented in the local							
	ss population, and has taken							
	teps to eliminate the							
identified ba	arriers.							
Award 2 poir	nts based on the agency's							
description of	of how the Project							
demonstrate	es a commitment to							
measuring a	nd improving							
	to racial disparities and							
	a commitment should							
	scription of specific steps							
	en taken or will be taken							
	at the organization's staff,							
	nighest earners, population							
	rved, and board of directors							
_	ficant representation from:							
	ople of color,							
	igenous people,							
	ople who are non-							
nati	ive English speakers, and/or							

	people with lived experience of					
	homelessness					
5	The agency <b>engages homeless and</b>		5	Points given in accordance to reviewer's	Applicant/	
	formerly homeless clients in program			observations and satisfaction of applicant's	Open-ended	
	design and policy making by including			description of consumer	Question	
	them on its board of directors or staff, by			involvement/feedback and their use of the		
	having a consumer advisory board that			feedback.		
	meets regularly, by administering					
	consumer satisfaction surveys, and/or by					
	convening client focus groups.					
	The agency must specifically					
	indicate <b>which</b> of these option(s) it is					
	using to gather consumer input and <b>how</b>					
	they are utilizing this feedback to create					
	action plans and reports.					
	Possible Po	oints for Priority:	25		Actual Points for	Priority:

## Performance – Client Outcomes

Inc	rease Total Income							
	CoC Evaluation Criteria	CoC Performance Target	Possible Points	Full Points (5)	Half Points (2.5)	No Points (0)	Data Source	Score
6	All Programs: Percentage of persons 18 and older who maintained or increased their income (from all sources) at exit or at a timely annual follow-up interview/assessment.  Divide the number of adults who maintained	50%	5	50%	40% - 49%	<40%	APR Q 19a3	
	or increased their income by the number of living adults in the project (minus the number of adults stayers not yet due for an							

annual assessment) and apply the scale to the right.							
(SPMs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6)							
Non-Cash Benefits – All Sources							
7 All Programs: Percentage of persons 18 and older with at least one source of non-cash benefits at exit or at a timely annual follow-up interview/assessment for each adult or head of household.	80%	5	80%	70% - 79%	<70%	APR Q 20b	
Divide the number of adults with at least one source of non-cash benefits by the number of living adults in the project (minus the number of adults stayers not yet due for an annual assessment) and apply the scale to the right.							
(SPMs 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6)							
Possible Points for Clie	ent Outcomes:	10			А	ctual Points for Client Ou	itcomes:

		CoC Performance	Possible	Full Points	Half Points	No Points		
	CoC Evaluation Criteria	Target	Points	(15)	(7.5)	(0)	Data Source	Score
a	PSH and RRH: Count each person who	80%	15	80%	74% - 79%	<74%	APR Q 23a&b	
	either <b>remained in the project</b> at the end							
	of the competition period or exited to							
	permanent housing. Divide this count by							
	the total number of people who							
	participated in the project during the							
	measurement period, excluding people							
	who passed away or who exited to foster							
	care, nursing homes, or non-psychiatric							

	Possible Points for	Housing Stability:	15			A	ctual Points for Housing	Stability:
	(SPM 7b.2)							
	who passed away or who exited to foster care, nursing homes, or non-psychiatric hospitals or inpatient medical facilities, and then apply the scale.							
	measurement period. Divide this count by the total number of people who exited the project during the measurement period, excluding people							
8b	TH Programs: Count each person who exited to permanent housing during the	80%	15	80%	74% - 79%	<74%	APR Q 23a&b	
	hospitals or inpatient medical facilities, and then apply the scale.  (SPM 7b.2)							

		CoC Performance	Possible	Full Points	Half Points	No Points		
	CoC Evaluation Criteria	Target	Points	(10 or 5)	(5 or 2.5)	(0)	Data Source	Score
9a	Bed Occupancy/Utilization: count the average number of people enrolled in the project on the last Wednesday of each quarter, and divide it by the number of beds promised in e-snaps (or by other agreement/modification with HUD, with supporting documentation) to get the bed occupancy rate.	90%	5	90%	80% - 89%	<80%	7b	

	The panel may use its discretion for scoring based on the project's optional narrative if the project:  • Faced circumstances beyond its control that made it difficult or impossible to fully utilize grant resources (this could include a consolidation or expansion with a project that has less than a year of operating data), and • has a concrete, plausible plan to improve utilization of grant resources for future years.  (SPMs 3.1, 3.2)							
9b	HMIS: Data Quality Report Card Grade for 4/1/2020 to 3/31/2021	A Grade	5	А	В	C, D, F	Data Quality Report Card	
	(SPMs 5.1, 5.2)							
9c	Financial – grant utilization	100% utilized	10	100%	90% - 99%	<90%	HUD quarterly spenddown report	
	The panel may use its discretion for						with optional	
	scoring based on the project's optional						Applicant/	
	narrative if the project:						Open-ended	
	Faced circumstances beyond						Question	
	its control that made it							
	difficult or impossible to fully spend grant resources (this							
	could include a consolidation							
	or expansion with a project							
	that has less than a year of							
	operating data), <u>and</u> has a							
	concrete, plausible plan to							

	improve spend of grant resources for future years.							
9d	(SPMs 3.1, 3.2)  Audits, HUD findings/monitoring  Projects were instructed to submit a copy of their most recent audit or monitoring report. Any type of report can be used (from HUD, direct recipient, accountant, etc.). The report should be dated no earlier than 1/1/2019.	A recent audit or monitoring report with no significant negative findings	5	Project attaches a recent report with no significant negative findings	Project did not attach a recent report but convincingly explains why it was not monitored since 1/1/2019 OR attached a report with	Project did not attach a recent report, with no explanation OR attached a report with negative findings with no	Audits, Monitoring Reports, Letters & Responses; Applicant/ Open-ended Question	
					negative findings with a corrective action plan.	corrective action plan submitted.		
9e	Coordinated Entry: Award points based on the project's subjective description of how it contributes to the Coordinated Entry System, including but not limited to participating in Coordinated Entry Workgroups, serving as an assessing agency, and/or attending Coordinated Entry trainings.  (SPMs 1.1, 1.2)		5	observations a description	in accordance to nd satisfaction o their contributi inated Entry Sys	of applicant's on to the	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	
9f	Coordinated Entry: Award points based on the project's description of how it is using HMIS to facilitate Coordinated Entry Referrals and Assessments.		5	observations a	in accordance to nd satisfaction o neir use of HMIS	of applicant's	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	

(SPMs 1.1, 1.2)			Entry System re assessments.	ferrals and		
Possible Points for Performand	e –Administrative:	35		Actual Points Administrativ	for Performance – e:	

	CoC Evaluation Criteria	CoC Performance Target	Possible Points	Full Points (5)	Half Points (2.5)	No Points (0)	Data Source	Score
a	Does the project ensure that the participants are not screened out based on the following items?  1. Having too little income 2. Active or history of substance abuse 3. Having a criminal record with exceptions for state-mandated restrictions 4. History of domestic violence (e.g., lack of protective order)  (SPM 2)	100% - Projects avoid screening out participants for all four items/character istics.	5	Project avoids screening out participants based on all four items.	Project avoids screening out participants based on some (1-3) but not all of the four items.	Project does not avoid screening out participants based on any of the four items.	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	
)	Does the project ensure that participants are not terminated from the program for the following reasons?  1. Failure to participate in services 2. Failure to make progress on service plan 3. Loss of income or failure to improve income 4. Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement  (SPM 2)	100% - Projects ensure participants are not terminated for any of the four reasons.	5	Project ensures participants are not terminated for any of the four reasons.	Project ensures participants are not terminated for some (1- 3) but not all of the four reasons.	Project does not ensure participants are not terminated for all four reasons.	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	

Possible Points for Housing First/Barriers:	10		Actual Points for Housing First/Barriers:	

CoC Evaluation Criteria	CoC Performance Target	Possible Points	Full Points (5)	Half Points (2.5)	No Points (0)	Data Source	Score
<ol> <li>Strategies employed to help clients access mainstream benefits:         <ol> <li>Transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs?</li> <li>At least annual follow-up with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed?</li> <li>Do project participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a subrecipient, or partner agency?</li> <li>Has the staff person providing the technical assistance completed SOAR training in the past 24 months?</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	100% (4 of 4 Answered "Yes")	5	100% (4 of 4)	25% - 75% (1 to 3 of 4)	0% (0 of 4)	Applicant/ Open-ended Question	
(SPMs 4.2, 4.5)							
Possible Points for Mainstream Resource Access:					Actua	Il Points for Mainstream I	Resource Access:
TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE:			100 TOTAL POI			TOTAL POINTS	ACTUAL:

BONUS POINTS								
Award points based on the agency's explanation of how it responded during the COVID-19 pandemic. Consider whether they were able to continue to serve participants and whether there was anything extra the agency did to meet the need during the pandemic.		5	observations a	n accordance to nd satisfaction of f their COVID-19	of applicant's	Applicant/ Open-ended Question		
Possible Points for Bonus Points:		5				Actual Points	for Bonus Points:	